

On appeal from the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal
IN THE MATTER OF THE SOLICITORS ACT 1974

BETWEEN:

FARID EL DIWANY

Appellant

-and-

SOLICITORS REGULATION AUTHORITY

Respondent

APPELLANT'S RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S SKELETON ARGUMENT

I FARID EL DIWANY respond as follows to the Respondent's Skeleton Argument dated 25 January 2021 sent to me today by their Solicitors, Capsticks.

1. With the hearing due to be heard in the first week of February 2021, having been listed 12 months ago, only now do I hear from Capsticks with their Skeleton Argument – a week before the hearing, giving me little time to reflect and respond properly. I asked Capsticks 5 months ago, and copied the Court in, to be given a point by point reply straight away to my own Skeleton Argument lodged with the Court a year ago. I find now there has been no point by point reply but just a repeat of what was given to me over a year ago by the Respondent SRA's prosecuting barrister, Mr Inderjit Johal. What a waste of time!
2. Moreover, today's reply by the SRA's current barrister Rory Mulchrone is, to put it politely, an exercise in obfuscation and deceit, when he deliberately tries to mislead the Court. As Mr Mulchrone knows full well, my accuser in Norway, Heidi Schøne, was from 1988 and 2003 and onwards a registered mental patient at the Buskerud Psychiatric Hospital in Lier, Norway, who is

on record as accusing her entire family of either sexually or mentally abusing her. I was added to her ever expanding list of abusers AFTER I wrote to her father to tell him to take note that his daughter was associating with a heroin abuser - her on-off lover (who caused her to attempt suicide in 1984) and to act on it. Her father confronted her. Two weeks later (sometime in 1986) Heidi Overaa, as she then was, tells the Bergen Police I have 'attempted' to rape her 18 months earlier. I found this out only in 1995, along with the discovery that I had allegedly *written* to her threatening to travel to Norway to murder her two-year old son – **hence my very angry letters to the woman**, who was trying to pervert the course of justice. I was the third youngster in three years she alleged to have either attempted to, or actually to have, raped her. Two years later she attempts suicide again after continued abuse by her abuser - the father of her child. Her psychiatrist, Dr Petter Broch, informs the Drammen District Court in 2002 and 2003 that his patient is "on a 100% disability pension for an enduring personality disorder initiated in her adolescence, has a pathological relationship with her parents and sexualises her own behaviour". That her own sisters mentally abused her. That her stepmother's father sexually abused her; that her stepmother abused her. Heidi's own word only. Can one man such as myself really be guilty of such a vast scale of horrendous abuse as Heidi Schøne attributed to me in her Press, particularly as in her many love letters to me, before the Court, she describes my character as beyond reproach and as a man of honour who doesn't treat her as a sex-object? It is this vast scale of abuse mentioned by Heidi Schøne herself in the Press – all ignored by the SRA and SDT – that fully entitles me to reveal all about Heidi Schøne. I did not "cross the line" with my pronouncements on Heidi Schøne's own sexualised past. She tells hundreds of thousands of readers ... I then tell many hundreds! Yet I have "crossed the line"! No more cover up please!

3. The SRA's 'without prejudice' offer last week to go for a re-hearing at the Solicitor's Disciplinary Tribunal was firmly rejected by me. The SRA's deceit, by blatantly covering up the most diabolical nationwide Norwegian Muslim-hating Press abuse of my persona on fantastical information supplied to them by Heidi Schøne, to which I then, naturally, reacted, would never occur in the U.K. The SRA thus forfeit their right to keep this 'without prejudice' offer from being revealed to the Court. I say deceit because, in truth, I deserve a commendation from the Solicitors Regulation Authority for my 25 year long fight against Norwegian Press bigotry. I used every legal avenue available to me in Norway. Which newspaper in England would label me "Muslim" nineteen times in one article? The Met Police told me if this happened here the newspaper would be prosecuted. My reaction by a public information campaign and 5 years later a website in no shape or form can be seen as unsolicited harassment of Heidi Schøne, deserving of two convictions. She started it. I reacted. The SRA and SDT did not even bother to read a single one of the 22 Press articles on me to know the degree of perversion Heidi Schøne accused me of perpetrating on her. If I am accused by her of being a sex pervert abuser and of wanting to murder her two-year old son in the Press, I do have the right to tell the Norwegian public every aspect of my accuser's life - and sexual aspects too.
4. What Rory Mulchrone is hiding from the Court is that his client, the SRA, is extremely upset that I have formally complained and accused them of misconduct for not charging Charles Russell Solicitors with bringing the profession into disrepute when in 2011 before Mrs Justice Sharp they strenuously argued that I was 'seriously mentally ill' merely for suing in Norway to deny I was a

potential child-killer and Muslim sex-abusing pervert. So in effect Charles Russell were saying I should have had the integrity to admit I was a 'sex-case' who was well capable of killing a small child – the kind of thing a 'temperamental' Muslim, so obviously, might do, it seems! Police Sergeant Torill Sorte, whose evidence the SRA are relying on, tells the whole country in Dagbladet newspaper in December 2005 that my mother sectioned me for two years in a mental hospital. Not true at all; a malicious fabrication, but Mrs Justice Sharp exonerates the Policewoman and rebukes me for my 'harassment' when I call Torill Sorte "a liar, cheat and abuser" in phone messages. The same honourable Mrs Justice Sharp who condoned me being told by the senders of the emails that they were going to "fuck" my mother because she likes "white man" or telling me to 'Go fuck a Camel' or to "lick the arseholes of pigs clean" before having intercourse with the pigs – as only they will 'take' my semen. Fair comment was it? According to Mrs Justice Sharp it was. I expected her to condemn it all. She refused. You couldn't make it up!

5. In his paragraph 10 Rory Mulchrone tells me now that the Chairman of the SDT Tribunal was 'a retired criminal prosecutor' and YET at the SDT Hearing the Chairman tells me he is not there to adjudicate as "a criminal lawyer" when I ask him to agree that my second conviction in Norway was obtained under duress and threats and that neither conviction was safe in any case - for very obvious reasons repeatedly told to the SDT. The SDT agreed that there WERE exceptional circumstances to look behind the two convictions. See my evidence. There was no point appealing these convictions as there was no effective remedy to be obtained in Norway - as required under Article 13 of the ECHR. It was a strict liability offence I was charged under on both occasions in Norway. *My lawyer in Norway told me this.* No point appealing as the result would have been the same. What fool goes to Norway to 'appeal' knowing he will automatically go straight to prison? The Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal's expertise was therefore non-existent as they were not fit for purpose: "We are not here as criminal lawyers", they told me. They refused to read a single one of the 22 or so Press articles on me. Norway is not a safe jurisdiction: the Norwegian Police still refuse to co-operate with the Essex Police on the 2005 hate-crime, initiated by Police Sergeant Torill Sorte and Heidi Schøne. However, Norwegian Police Prosecutors accepted in 2019 that Torill Sorte was lying when she told the nation my mother sectioned me for two years. But that the time limits to prosecute her had "now expired".
6. I am one man on my own up against a whole country's Press apparatus and clearly xenophobic establishment in Norway. There was no equality of arms. A country whose citizens agreed with mass-murderer Anders Breivik's Muslim-hating discourse and still do, but not his actions in blowing up central Oslo then shooting dead 69 kids on Utøya Island due to his hatred for Muslims - all in the same week as Mrs Justice Sharp handed down her judgment. For Rory Mulchrone of the SRA to condone the 2005 Norwegian hate-crime shows what a bigot he is. A hate-crime as declared by the Essex Police with the admirable support of Lord Pickles and referred to Interpol and which vile abuse was initiated by my abusers, Sorte and Schøne, the 'innocent victims'!!

Farid El Diwany
Appellant
25 January 2021